**Point-to-point response to review comments on Protocol “Quantitative examination of antibiotic susceptibility of Neisseria gonorrhoeae aggregates using a Bactiter assay and Live/Dead Baclight stain”**

**We would like to thank the editor for carefully reviewing our protocol and providing suggestions. We describe how we address these comments in our revised manuscript below.**

Editorial comments:

1. Please take this opportunity to thoroughly proofread the manuscript to ensure that there are no spelling or grammar issues.

We have thoroughly proofread the manuscript.

2. Table 2 is never mentioned in the manuscript. Please adjust the numbering of the all Tables.

We have corrected the numbering in the manuscript.

3. All tables should be uploaded separately to your Editorial Manager account in the form of an .xls or .xlsx file.

We have made tables into .xlsx files and uploaded to the submission site.

4. Please add the table titles of all tables in Figure and Table Legends.

We have added table titles in ” Figure and Table Legends” section.

5. What are these superscripted numbers in AUTHORS? Do they mean different affiliations?

We have taken off the superscripted numbers.

6. Step 2.5: Please specify the concentrations used in the protocol.

We have added the concentrations we used in the protocol.

7. JoVE cannot publish manuscripts containing commercial language. This includes company names of an instrument or reagent. Please remove all commercial language from your manuscript and use generic terms instead. All commercial products should be sufficiently referenced in the Table of Materials and Reagents. Examples of commercial language in your manuscript include Glomax, Baclight, Leica SP5X, etc.

We have carefully read through the manuscript and taken off/changed all words commercial language.